

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/691,006	10/18/2000	James R. Leger	110.01420101	110.01420101 4510	
26813 7:	590 12/20/2002			·	
MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A. P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			EXAMINER PHAM, HOA Q		
•			2877		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	/			
Office Action Summary		09/691,00	6	LEGER ET AL.	W			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hoa Q. Ph		2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nc address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	7 October 200	02 .					
2a)⊠		This action is						
3)								
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9, 12-14, 22, 24, 27, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kino et al (5,022,743).

Claims 1, 5, 9, 12-14, 22, 24, 27, and 35-36 are read on the teachings of Kino et al, Kino et al discloses a measuring device comprises an objective lens (26), an illumination source (22), a spatial filter (21) is positioned at a plane of an exit pupil of the objective lens, and an analyzer portion (31,32,33) operable to generate polarization information base on the reflected light (see figures 2 and 3 and column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2877

4. Claims 2-4, 6-9, 10-11, 15-21, 23, 25-26, 28-34, and 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kino et al in view of Ghislain et al (5,939,709).

Regarding claims 2, 8, 26, and 28, Kino et al does not explicitly teach the use of optical fiber and a CCD detector; however, such the features are known in the art as taught by Ghislain et al. Ghislain et al teaches the use of optical fiber for transmitting and receiving light and the detector is a CCD (see column 12 lines 44-45 and column 5 lines 26-27). Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in Kino et al an optical fiber for transmitting light from the light source for the purpose of preventing loss light within the measuring device, also using CCD detector would improve the image signal of the surface.

Regarding claims 3 and 15, it would have been obvious to use an objective lens of Kino et al having the NA in the range of 0.5 to 1 so that the small objects could be observed.

Regarding claims 4, 6, 10-11, 16-17, 21-22, 29, and 31, Ghislain et al teaches that the spatial filter (32a) can be located next to the objective lens (30) (see figure 3B). Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the spatial filter of Willenborg et al next to the objective lens as taught by Ghislain et al because no matter where it is located it still function in the same manner.

Regarding claims 7, 18, 20, 23, 32, 39-41, and 43-44, Kino et al teaches that the polarizer plate(28) could be omitted and the analyzer has to rotate so that its plane of polarization is at 90 degrees to the polarizer (column 7, lines 44-49).

Art Unit: 2877

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Kino et al as mentioned above teach the new added limitation "wherein the spatial filter is positioned at a plane of an exit pupil of the objective lens".
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

December 16, 2002.